

Web address: <http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2007/09/070919073014.htm>

# ScienceDaily

Your source for the latest research news

Source: Public Library of Science

Date: September 19, 2007

Keywords: Language Acquisition, Evolutionary Biology, Life Sciences, Cloning, Child Development, Genetics

## Gene Involved In Human Language Development Also Involved In Bat Echolocation

*Science Daily* — When it comes to the FOXP2 gene, humans have had most to shout about. Discoveries that mutations in this gene lead to speech defects and that the gene underwent changes around the time language evolved both implicate FOXP2 in the evolution of human language.

More recently, patterns of gene expression in birds, humans and rodents have suggested a wider role in the production of vocalisations. Yet numerous reports have established that FOXP2 shows very little genetic variation across even distantly related vertebrates - from reptiles to mammals -- providing few extra clues as to the gene's role.

A new study, undertaken by a joint team of British and Chinese scientists, has found that this gene shows unparalleled variation in echolocating bats. The results, appearing in a study published in the online, open-access journal PLoS ONE on September 19, report that FOXP2 sequence differences among bat lineages correspond well to contrasting forms of echolocation.

Like speech, bat echolocation involves producing complex vocal signals via sophisticated coordination of the mouth and face. The involvement of FOXP2 in the evolution of echolocation adds weighty support to the theory that FOXP2 functions in the sensory-motor coordination of vocalisations.

Citation: Li G, Wang J, Rossiter SJ, Jones G, Zhang S (2007) Accelerated FoxP2 Evolution in Echolocating Bats. PLoS ONE 2(9): e900. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000900

Note: This story has been adapted from material provided by Public Library of Science.



Harlequin bat. (Credit: Photo by G. Jones)

Copyright © 1995-2007 ScienceDaily LLC — All rights reserved — Contact: [editor@sciencedaily.com](mailto:editor@sciencedaily.com)